

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 942 OF 2006

PETITIONER

✓ Sundar Lal Markam, S/o
 Shri Amar Lal Markam, aged
 45 years, Assistant Engineer,
 (Suspended), Office of ~~the~~ ^{in-Chief}
 Engineer, Public Works
 Department, Raipur & R/o
 HIG - 120, Vijeta Complex
 New Rajendra Nagar, Raipur
 Dist. Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 246/06
 Presented by Sri. *Sarabh Shrivastava*
 13/2/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh through
 Secretary, Public Works
 Department, Mantralaya,
 Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan,
 Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
- ✓ 2. Under Secretary, Public
 Works Department, Govt. of
 Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya,
 Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan,
 Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. ^{in-Chief}
 Engineer, Public Works
 Department, Govt. of
 Chhattisgarh Raipur
- ✓ 4. Superintending Engineer,
 Public Works Department,
 Durg Circle, Durg, Distt.
 Durg (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED-COPY
 13/2/06
 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT
IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS
PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR
WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR
ORDERS:

The petitioner, above-named, most respectfully begs to
 submit as under:

आदेश पत्रक

WP 942/2006

मामला क्रमांक

मन 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20-3-2006 Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter is similar to that of W.P.No.852/2006 (<u>Suresh Kumar Uike vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others</u>) and W.P.No.886/2006 (<u>M.D. Sonkevare vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others</u>) except that the petitioner herein is an Assistant Engineer. Learned counsel submits that in the aforesaid writ petitions this Court has passed an order dated 2-3-2006 and he prays for similar order. The prayer is not opposed by the other side. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within two weeks from today and on such a representation being filed the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority of the respondents on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of production of the representation. If required the petitioner may also be heard. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.737/2006 and I.A. No. 2421/2006 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

243/08